Global Journal of Management and Business Research - Section C
GJMBR

Green Finance and Economic Growth: A Literature Review

Michael Tette-Ocloo[§*], Prof. Gloria Clarissa Dzeha[§], and Alfred Quarcoo[§]

Affiliations
§ Department of Finance, School of Graduate Studies and Research, Central University, Accra, Ghana [(OA)]

Abstract

Green finance has emerged as a critical catalyst for driving economic innovation and facilitating the global transition toward carbon neutrality and sustainable economic development (Marín-Rodríguez et al., 2024; Yadav & Chandiok, 2025). This literature review provides a systematic bibliometric analysis and qualitative meta-synthesis of scholarly work published between 2013 and 2023, following PRISMA 2020 guidelines to examine the causal links between green financial instruments to environmental and macroeconomic performance (Çıtak & Hoş, 2024; Olugbenga & Bali, 2026). The study categorizes existing research into three primary dimensions: the mechanism of green capital allocation, the efficacy of regulatory policy frameworks, and the differentiated regional impacts of financial innovation (Liu et al., 2025; Ma & Chen, 2025).

Key findings highlight that while green credit and bonds effectively redirect capital toward climate-aligned projects, their success is heavily moderated by institutional quality and regulatory clarity (Ali et al., 2025). Furthermore, the integration of emerging technologies—such as artificial intelligence and block chain—is identified as a vital driver for enhancing transparency, risk assessment, and operational scalability within climate-finance ecosystems (Bagarti & Pahwa, 2025; Singh et al., 2025). Despite these advancements, significant barriers remain, including fragmented policy regulations, high transaction costs in emerging markets, and a persistent "instrument-impact paradox" caused by the lack of standardized environmental accounting metrics (Jamali & Voghouei, 2025; Raman et al., 2025).

The review concludes that achieving a resilient global green finance architecture requires a shift toward interdisciplinary research, unified global reporting standards, and the active role of development banks in de-risking sustainable investments (Liu et al., 2025; Sheraz et al., 2021). Ultimately, these findings offer a policy-action roadmap to align financial incentives with long-term ecological sustainability and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (Majumdar et al., 2026; Olugbenga & Bali, 2026).

Keywords: *climate risk, Economic Growth, ESG integration, Financial Innovation, green finance, Sustainable development*

*** Corresponding Author**
Michael Tette-Ocloo

1. Introduction

The global financial landscape is undergoing a fundamental shift, with green finance now recognized as an essential engine for high-quality, sustainable development (Li et al., 2024). Recent scholarly work emphasizes that by integrating environmental governance into core financial decision-making, these mechanisms provide the necessary capital for technological breakthroughs and research-driven initiatives (Zhao et al., 2024). Empirical evidence suggests that while green credit policies effectively mitigate industrial pollution, they require carefully calibrated macroeconomic frameworks to balance long-term ecological goals with short-term growth stability (Rukh et al., 2025).

This field of study has seen a dramatic surge in publication volume following the 2015 Paris Agreement, with research evolving from a focus on carbon markets (2008–2010) to a more integrated perspective on Sustainable Development Goals and financial development (2018–2022) (Azad & Devi, 2025; Wang et al., 2025). However, the literature remains fragmented regarding the long-term effectiveness of these instruments across varying institutional settings, particularly in emerging markets where high transaction costs and data opacity persist (Fu et al., 2023; Raman et al., 2025). This review seeks to explore the nexus between green finance and economic growth that has been articulated by various scholars and consideration of factors that will help make green finance have the needed impact on economic growth globally.

2. Literature review

2.1. Theoretical Frameworks and Capital Allocation Mechanism

The nexus between green finance and economic growth is often explored through the "Porter hypothesis," which suggests that appropriate environmental regulations can trigger an innovation compensation effect, enhancing technological progress and offsetting compliance costs (Han et al., 2024; Yu et al., 2024). This mechanism allows for a strategic reallocation of capital from carbon-intensive sectors toward renewable energy and sustainable industries (Nishonov, 2025). Theoretical developments have increasingly situated green finance within the broader context of "Environmental, Social, and Governance" readiness, finding that access to credit is a fundamental enabler of sustainability transitions, provided it is supported by strong institutional capacity (Azam et al., 2025).

3. Core Financial Instruments and Their Efficacy

- **Green Bonds and Credit:** Green bonds dominate the research discourse, representing approximately 67.3% of the thematic focus in recent reviews (Majumdar et al., 2026). While they significantly contribute to sustainable development in developed markets, their performance in emerging economies is often hindered by weak market infrastructure and high certification costs (Azad & Devi, 2025). Studies on China's Green Credit Guidelines show that such policies can lower emissions, though they may also increase financing constraints for polluting firms, requiring them to pursue green transformation to survive (Guo & Zhang, 2023; Hu et al., 2023).

- **Carbon Markets and Pricing:** Carbon emission trading has been shown to boost green development, with research in China demonstrating that it significantly boosts the construction industry's green development, leading to a 0.36 GTFP increase for listed construction companies (Liu et al., 2025). However, the effectiveness of carbon taxes remains debated, as they can sometimes be viewed as mere tax-saving mechanisms rather than ecological protection measures (Chishti & Sinha, 2021).

- **Green Insurance and Risk Mitigation:** Green insurance acts as an essential tool for managing climate-induced physical risks, although its implementation is less widespread in developing nations (Periasamy & Rajmohan, 2026),(Shi et al., 2026).

4. The role of Digitalization and Fintech

The "Digital Economy" is identified as a critical moderator that amplifies the positive effects of green finance on carbon emission reduction (Liu & Zhu, 2024). Low-carbon city pilot policies show a 6.42% boost to urban circular economies (Liu et al., 2025). Technologies like block chain and AI are revolutionizing the sector by automating climate finance processes, enhancing transparency and scalability, and improving the accuracy of carbon asset pricing (Singh et al., 2025),(Liu et al., 2026). For instance, AI-driven carbon accounting and environmental disclosure are vital for linking digital empowerment to measurable environmental outcomes (Liu et al., 2026).

5. Regional Heterogeneity and Institutional quality

Research highlights a significant geographic divide: while developed economies like the EU benefit from mature regulatory frameworks and ESG standards, emerging economies in Africa, Asia, and Latin America face substantial funding gaps and regulatory inconsistencies (Bhardwaj et al., 2025; Yougang et al., 2025). In China, the impact of green finance is characterized by a "strong effect in the east and a weak effect in the Midwest," reflecting the influence of regional financial ecosystems and industrial structures (Lee & Du, 2024). Institutional quality, including regulatory effectiveness and the rule of law, is the most decisive factor in attracting green bond capital and preventing greenwashing (Sagala et al., 2025).

5.1. Challenges: Greenwashing and Standardization

A primary barrier to the credibility of green finance is the lack of standardized metrics and the risk of greenwashing, where firms falsely claim environmental responsibility (Dempere et al., 2024; Goyal & Panwar, 2025). The current standardization dilemma and instrument-impact paradox mean that rapid market growth does not always translate into measurable SDG performance (Jamali & Voghouei, 2025). Scholars advocate for a paradigm shift toward impact-based metrics and unified global reporting standards to restore stakeholder trust (Dempere et al., 2024; Jamali & Voghouei, 2025).

5.2. Conceptual Framework

This section categorizes the available literature into three primary dimensions: the mechanism of green capital allocation, the efficacy of regulatory policy frameworks, and the differentiated impacts of financial innovation on industrial output across diverse economic regions (Liu et al., 2025; Ma & Chen, 2025). Furthermore, recent studies suggest that the symbiotic relationship between green urbanization and financial deepening offers a critical, albeit underresearched, framework for understanding how digital infrastructure and big data can enhance risk assessment for climate-aligned investments (Ma et al., 2025). In parallel, emerging economies frequently struggle with regulatory inconsistencies and data opacity, which complicate the scaling of these financial instruments compared to the robust, ESG-standardized frameworks established within the European Union (Bhardwaj et al., 2025; Yougang et al., 2025). Consequently, scholars emphasize that bridging this divide necessitates an integrated approach, where climate-risk insurance and carbon markets are viewed not as isolated instruments but as essential components of a unified climate-finance ecosystem (Periasamy & Rajmohan, 2026). Moreover, the strategic deployment of such instruments must account for the high-risk, long-term nature of eco-innovation, which often demands specialized financial capital that exceeds the capacity of standard market mechanisms (D'Orazio & Dirks, 2021).

Furthermore, emerging research suggests that digital financial inclusion, supported by robust regulatory infrastructures, is essential for optimizing the aggregation of capital and accelerating technological breakthroughs in carbon productivity (Lei et al., 2025). Beyond these traditional mechanisms, the integration of artificial intelligence and block chain technology is increasingly recognized as a catalyst for automating climate finance processes, thereby enhancing transparency and operational scalability (Singh et al., 2025).

Simultaneously, the development of impact-linked loan structures allows small and medium-sized enterprises to align their operational financing with quantifiable sustainability benchmarks, thereby broadening the reach of green capital (Oyewole et al., 2024). By mitigating the information asymmetries that traditionally constrain green projects, these frameworks facilitate the transition of institutional portfolios toward assets that prioritize long-term climate stability (Digitemie & Ekemezie, 2024).

Beyond this, proponents of the Porter hypothesis suggest that such moderate regulatory pressures effectively stimulate firms to pursue technological innovation, thereby offsetting compliance costs and fostering long-term output efficiency (Han et al., 2024). Furthermore, the adoption of advanced digital technologies, including big data and artificial intelligence, significantly reduces carbon emission intensity by facilitating more precise factor allocation and lowering the financing constraints faced by firms (Liu et al., 2026; Yang et al., 2022). Moreover, adopting a "Green Finance + Technology Transfer" model can further integrate research, industry, and capital resources, facilitating the effective diffusion of innovation outcomes across regional jurisdictions (Gao et al., 2025).

EARLY VIEW

To achieve this systemic harmonization, policymakers should focus on incentivizing the commercialization of low-carbon breakthroughs through strategic R&D subsidies and technical collaborations between academic institutions and industrial enterprises (Su et al., 2025). Furthermore, local governments can enhance these efforts by establishing dedicated green technology service platforms that provide critical intellectual property consulting and assessment services to lower barriers for small and medium-sized enterprises (Zhang & Guo, 2025). By leveraging regional comparative advantages, these entities can accelerate the systematic integration of clean technologies into traditional high-pollution sectors, thereby optimizing the broader energy structure (Li & Cao, 2025).

Integrating localized economic characteristics with national regulatory goals is essential for tailoring financial tools to the specific industrial needs of diverse regions, thereby maximizing the aggregate impact of green investment (Peng & Zheng, 2021). This tailored approach must be complemented by the development of inter-regional cooperation mechanisms, such as urban clusters and economic belts, to foster an interconnected green supply chain management framework (Xia et al., 2023). Such institutionalized cooperation enables the establishment of unified standards for low-carbon economic development, allowing regions to synthesize their unique resource endowments with shared environmental objectives (Yao et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the deployment of specialized financial products, such as SME-specific green bonds and insurance-backed risk-sharing mechanisms, remains critical to alleviating the financing constraints inherent in diverse industrial sectors (Li et al., 2025). To further mitigate information asymmetry, enhancing the transparency of environmental performance data is vital for building institutional trust and attracting private capital toward long-term green projects (Ni et al., 2023). Integrating digital monitoring systems with these financial platforms will facilitate real-time ESG disclosure, enabling investors to accurately assess project-level environmental outcomes and mitigate potential transition risks (Zhou et al., 2022). Moreover, harnessing the positive spill over effects of these financial mechanisms allows for the cross-regional dissemination of sustainable technological innovations, effectively bridging the developmental gap between mature and nascent green markets (Zeng et al., 2023). Such systemic integration requires a nuanced policy design that accounts for regional disparities, as evidenced by the need for distinct financial interventions in eastern versus western territories to optimize resource allocation (Kong et al., 2023).

Moreover, governments should prioritize investments in digital infrastructure and provide targeted incentives, such as tax breaks and research funding, to catalyse innovation within fintech companies and other technology-intensive entities (Liu et al., 2025). These targeted fiscal measures, when coupled with the systematic establishment of robust regulatory frameworks for digital finance, ensure the transparency and risk-mitigation essential for attracting sustainable long-term investment.

Finally, leveraging digital platforms to increase public awareness of environmental mandates can significantly improve resident engagement with sustainable practices, effectively creating a feedback loop between consumer behaviour and green financial policy (Dong & Yao, 2024). Moreover, fostering the development of green total factor productivity acts as a vital intermediary mechanism, as it integrates environmental variables directly into input-output evaluation systems to improve production quality (Liu & Zhu, 2024). Strategic expansion of pilot zones, particularly in regions where the marginal utility of green digital finance is highest, can further amplify these productivity gains by concentrating resources in areas poised for significant industrial breakthroughs (Xiao et al., 2024).

6. Methodology

This section employs a systematic bibliometric analysis and qualitative meta-synthesis of scholarly articles published between 2013 and 2023 to evaluate the causal links between green financial instruments and macroeconomic performance (Çıtak & Hoş, 2024). Utilizing PRISMA 2020 guidelines, the analysis spans work published between 2013 and 2025, prioritizing empirical studies that use Granger-causality, quantile regression, and machine learning models to identify macroeconomic trends (Çıtak & Hoş, 2024; Olugbenga & Bali, 2026; Vishwavidyalaya et al., 2025)

7. Results

The synthesis of literature confirms that green finance is a significant driver of eco-efficiency (Lee & Du, 2024). Empirical data from low-carbon pilot programs indicates a 2.2% increase in the ecological efficiency of participating cities (Liu et al., 2025). However, the results also suggest a quality-speed trade-off, where the shift to green industries may cause a temporary deceleration in traditional GDP growth while significantly improving the *quality* of economic expansion (Lee & Du, 2024). Furthermore, institutional quality and the rule of law are confirmed as the most decisive factors in attracting international green capital (Ali et al., 2025; Sagala et al., 2025).

Recent empirical evidence suggests that financial markets must shift their focus toward financing R&D activities in carbon neutrality to achieve a sustainable development paradigm (Ganda & Ruza, 2025). Furthermore, policymakers are encouraged to facilitate organizational transitions toward green technology and broaden the adoption of renewable energy sources as critical mechanisms for fulfilling international sustainability goals like SDG-7 (Ahmad et al., 2022). In addition, directing capital toward the mitigation of stranded asset risks remains a primary imperative, as regional economic resilience is increasingly contingent upon the proactive transition of financial portfolios away from carbon-intensive dependencies (Fu et al., 2024).

Moreover, the literature indicates that a unified regulatory framework, integrating standardized green credit and insurance protocols, is essential for aligning domestic financial systems with global carbon neutrality targets (Xue et al., 2023). Additionally, the efficacy of these policies depends on the collaborative alignment between consumers, financial institutions, and government bodies to address existing structural barriers (Mudalige, 2023). Empirical studies further emphasize that these supportive policies must be coupled with environmental risk assessment reports to ensure that green financial instruments effectively contribute to long-term sustainability objectives (Rukh et al., 2025). These targeted strategies are particularly vital for developing economies, where institutional gaps and infrastructural limitations necessitate a precise, tailored approach to financial and renewable energy development to catalyse significant emission reductions (Bhardwaj et al., 2025). Research suggests that fostering financial development and promoting innovative climate-resilient technologies are fundamental precursors to enhancing the efficacy of green capital allocation within these vulnerable regions (Ahmad et al., 2023).

EARLY VIEW

8. Discussion

The transition toward a sustainable economic model requires development banks to actively mitigate financial risks while mobilizing private capital to bridge the funding gap in climate-resilient projects (Sheraz et al., 2021). By synchronizing these investments with robust policy tools, such as green bonds and sustainable credit facilities, nations can effectively lower the risk profiles associated with the green transition (Mohsin et al., 2023). Moreover, strengthening international institutional cooperation is vital to harmonize climate-related regulations, thereby creating the systemic stability necessary to facilitate cross-border capital flows and sustainable investment (Ghorbani et al., 2023).

Furthermore, it is imperative to address the potential for climate-induced financial distress, which accounts for significant aggregate economic losses, by refining the mechanisms through which central banks manage systemic stability (Lamperti et al., 2021). This necessitates a shift in prudential supervision to incorporate climate-related risk disclosures, ensuring that financial institutions proactively align their portfolios with the global trajectory toward carbon neutrality (Campiglio & Ploeg, 2022; Palea & Drogo, 2020).

Specifically, the establishment of a unified green financial union could enhance global governance by compelling institutional investors to systematically report on environmental, social, and governance risks (Yunus et al., 2023). Such efforts are particularly critical in developing regions, where international development banks can utilize blended finance models to de-risk investments and catalyse private sector engagement in energy efficiency projects.

Based on current academic trends and the gaps identified in recent literature, here is a future research roadmap for literature review on Green Finance and Economic Growth. This roadmap is categorized according to the themes in your document.

8.1. Key Strategic Directions

- **Methodological Innovation:** There is a call for more rigorous empirical designs, such as natural experiments and Fourier-based statistical approaches, to address indigeneity in green finance studies (Liu & Wu, 2023; Pata et al., 2024).

- **Policy & Institutional Role:** Future research should prioritize the evolving role of central banks in mandating climate risk disclosures and providing tax incentives for green initiatives (Fu et al., 2024; Miled, 2025).

- **Standardization:** Developing a unified global classification is critical to resolving the ”impact paradox” where green investments fail to yield measurable environmental improvements (Fu et al., 2024). Furthermore, fostering international collaboration to standardize sustainable lending definitions is essential to mitigate market fragmentation and ensure that capital is directed toward high-impact, long-term environmental projects (Zakari & Khan, 2021). Additionally, investigating the co-integration between green innovation, economic growth, and capital funding remains a vital frontier for validating the long-term viability of sustainable economic models. Moreover, exploring the nexus between green finance strategies and corporate environmental performance will be critical to understanding how firm-level innovation translates into broader macro-economic resilience (Saxena et al., 2023).

Finally, scholars should examine the heterogeneous effects of financial risks on green growth, as current evidence remains limited by narrow sample sizes and inconsistent data availability across diverse national economies (Zhao et al., 2022). Building upon these findings, researchers must also evaluate the efficacy of innovative financing mechanisms, such as climate insurance and impact investing, to mobilize additional capital for climate-resilient development (Digitemie & Ekemezie, 2024). Furthermore, rigorous longitudinal studies are required to quantify the net contribution of these instruments to emission reduction targets, thereby bridging the disconnect between theoretical financial commitments and tangible environmental outcomes (Pitaloka et al., 2024).

EARLY VIEW

Table 1. Future Research Roadmap: Green Finance & Economic Growth

Research Theme	Identified Gaps & Future Directions	Potential Research Questions
Theoretical Frameworks & Mechanisms	**Long-term vs. Short-term Dynamics:** Most studies focus on immediate impacts, but there is a lack of evidence on the trade-offs between short-term recovery and long-term GDP growth (Liu & Wu, 2023). **Multidisciplinary Integration:** Integrating environmental science and social science to understand the "circular economy" paradigm (Fu et al., 2023; Mudalige, 2023).	How do the economic impacts of green finance differ across short-term recovery phases versus long-term growth cycles? (Liu & Wu, 2023)
Core Financial Instruments	**Instrument Diversification:** Research needs to expand beyond green bonds into "blue finance" (ocean conservation) and social finance (Fu et al., 2024). **Sector-Specific Impact:** Investigating the efficacy of green instruments in specific sectors like sustainable agriculture and SMEs (Fu et al., 2024).	To what extent can blue finance mechanisms drive economic resilience in coastal developing nations? (Fu et al., 2024)
Digitalization & Fintech	**Beyond Blockchain:** Current research is heavily focused on blockchain; future studies should explore AI, IoT, and Big Data for real-time monitoring (Puschmann & Khmarskyi, 2024; Sreelekshmi & Biju, 2023). **The Digital Paradox:** Investigating the environmental footprint (energy/e-waste) of the technologies used to power green finance (Fu et al., 2023).	How can AI-driven analytics mitigate information asymmetry in green investment without increasing carbon footprints? (Fu et al., 2023)
Regional Heterogeneity	**Global South Contexts:** Shifting focus from Western-centric markets to emerging economies and their unique regulatory "mazes" (Fu et al., 2024; Miled, 2025). **Cross-Border Synergy:** Comparative analyses of best practices to foster global standardization (Fu et al., 2023).	What institutional quality factors determine the success of green finance pilots in BRICS vs. OECD nations? (Fu et al., 2024; Miled, 2025)
Challenges: Greenwashing & Standardization	**Technological Detection:** Using AI and machine learning to detect corporate "greenwashing" and "impact paradoxes" (Dempere et al., 2024; Pata et al., 2024). **Motivational Analysis:** Qualitative research into why executives pursue greenwashing tactics (Dempere et al., 2024).	Can block chain-based auditing systems effectively eliminate "greenwashing" in corporate sustainability reporting? (Dempere et al., 2024; Pata et al., 2024)

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS RESEARCH VIEW

9. Conclusion

The evolution of green finance from a niche market to a global economic pillar is intrinsically tied to technological innovation and regulatory robustness (Ul-Durar et al., 2024). While instruments like green bonds and AI-driven carbon pricing offer immense potential, their success depends on eliminating greenwashing through unified global standards (Jamali & Voghouei, 2025). Future research should focus on interdisciplinary approaches that align financial market development with specific regional climate policies to ensure that green finance delivers on its promise of a sustainable global economy (Digitemie & Ekemezie, 2024; Liu et al., 2025).

Reviewed articles to examine how factors such as institutional quality and regulatory clarity moderate the effectiveness of climate-linked investments (Olugbenga & Bali, 2026). Specifically, this analysis investigates whether the micro-paths of capital transformation, such as green innovation and structural industrial upgrades, sufficiently elucidate the link between financial initiatives and national-level ESG performance (Zheng et al., 2025). Furthermore, the selection criteria prioritize studies that explore the catalytic impact of green bonds and ESG integration on sustainable development goals, as these are central to green finance literature (Majumdar et al., 2026). This methodological approach acknowledges that research focus has disproportionately favoured green bonds, which account for nearly two-thirds of the thematic discourse, while concurrently highlighting an urgent need to address implementation barriers in emerging markets (Majumdar et al., 2026). Consequently, this synthesis identifies a critical research gap concerning the lack of standardized environmental accounting metrics, and highlights ongoing challenges related to data transparency (Ikevuje et al., 2024), (Vishwavidyalaya et al., 2025).

To bridge this knowledge gap, the following analysis integrates disparate regional case studies to map the influence of national policy frameworks on the deployment of green financial products (Mursalov, 2025; Shah et al., 2023). This investigation incorporates insights from bibliometric and econometric studies to determine how market maturity and institutional capacity, specifically access to credit, influence sustainable economic models (Azam et al., 2025). Moreover, bibliometric evidence highlights that while global publication volume surged following the 2015 Paris Agreement, research remains geographically skewed toward developed markets, with Africa and Latin America remaining markedly underrepresented (Azad & Devi, 2025). This geographic imbalance necessitates future research agendas that account for unique socio-economic barriers in emerging economies, such as insufficient institutional frameworks and high transaction costs hindering the broader adoption of green finance tools (Raman et al., 2025). Consequently, the efficacy of these mechanisms is contingent upon the robustness of domestic governance, as institutional quality remains a primary predictor of successful green bond issuance and long-term sustainable outcomes (Ali et al., 2025; Sagala et al., 2025).

Moreover, the proliferation of digital technologies, including block chain and AI, offers promising avenues to enhance the transparency and reliability of sustainability assessments (Bagarti & Pahwa, 2025). Furthermore, current discourse identifies an instrument-impact paradox, where the rapid expansion of financial products often outpaces the development of verifiable, outcome-oriented metrics essential for authentic sustainable development,

thereby undermining measurable impact (Jamali & Voghouei, 2025). To address this discrepancy, scholars increasingly advocate for the harmonization of international reporting standards to ensure that capital flows are accurately aligned with measurable decarbonisation targets (Debrah et al., 2022; Sharma & Jain, 2025).

Beyond these standardization efforts, future inquiries must prioritize the longitudinal assessment of how shifting capital structures influence specific industrial carbon-intensity pathways, particularly through the insertion of financial development in energy-emissions-economics models (Maria et al., 2023). Furthermore, research should explore the emerging intersection of sustainable development goals and financial inclusion, as well as the impact of green finance initiatives on equity and society (Singhania et al., 2023).

Finally, scrutinizing the nexus between government interventions and the transition of resource-dependent industries remains vital for monitoring factors that contribute to unsustainable economic growth (Miled, 2025). Specifically, investigating the interactive impact of ESG criteria and corporate reputation within these evolving markets is essential to understand how firms navigate the transition toward low-carbon operations (Cheng et al., 2022). Additionally, determining the long-term efficacy of financial instruments such as green bonds requires analysing their correlation with energy efficiency across diverse market maturity levels (Nikou, 2025). Addressing this objective requires a comprehensive exploration of how varying regional regulatory environments and capacity constraints influence the actualization of climate finance benefits (Al-Afeef et al., 2024; Lwesya, 2025). Moreover, fostering cross-regional collaboration remains imperative to synthesize lessons from established markets and emerging economies, thereby building a more resilient global infrastructure for green finance (Adisa et al., 2024). Empirical studies indicate that such collaboration must prioritize the role of financial management in bridging the gap between sustainability principles and technical implementation (PrabhaSingh et al., 2024). Building on this foundation, future research should rigorously examine how direct financial support mechanisms, such as targeted government subsidies and green investment funds, uniquely influence innovation trajectories compared to prevailing market-based financing approaches, as existing studies predominantly focus on market-based financing (Liu et al., 2025).

Furthermore, scholars must investigate how the digitalization of financial markets facilitates access to capital for firms in developing regions, thereby reducing reliance on traditional, carbon-intensive funding cycles (Wu, 2024). Additionally, it is essential to explore the long-term economic implications of these green practices, as current evidence suggests a temporal disconnect where environmental benefits may not translate into immediate growth metrics (Liu & Wu, 2023). Such research should therefore prioritize the evaluation of transition periods, assessing whether short-term financial volatility is an inevitable precursor to long-term economic stability and climate resilience (Narayanan & Pradhan, 2023). Furthermore, evaluating the heterogeneity in ESG ratings remains paramount, as disagreement among agencies can create information asymmetries that complicate corporate decision-making and impede the efficient allocation of capital toward truly sustainable projects. Addressing this challenge requires a deeper examination of how standardized disclosures and patent valuation methodologies can mitigate adverse selection, ensuring that financial support is directed toward high-quality, genuinely transformative green innovations (Wu et al., 2024). Moreover, investigating the potential of circular economy paradigms offers a novel avenue for aligning financial incentives with waste minimization and resource efficiency (Fu et al., 2023).

Future inquiries should also assess the synergistic potential of integrating social, digital, and green finance frameworks to foster more inclusive, climate-resilient economic systems (Aryal et al., 2022). Additionally, researchers should examine the moderating influence of corporate financial stringency on the volume of green investment, as liquidity constraints often prevent distressed firms from pursuing necessary ecological transitions (Chen et al., 2025). Additionally, identifying the optimal regulatory balance is critical to ensure that compliance costs do not disproportionately stifle innovation within the emerging green sector (Ozili, 2022). Furthermore, comparative analyses of institutional heterogeneity across both developed and developing markets are necessary to ascertain how diverse regulatory frameworks uniquely shape the cost-benefit calculus for green project developers (Ganesh et al., 2024).

Finally, exploring the integration of artificial intelligence with block chain technology offers a promising pathway to enhance the traceability and security of such ESG data, ultimately providing investors with greater confidence in the reliability of sustainability metrics (Elhady & Shohieb, 2025). In addition, the expansion of green finance instruments, including green bonds and sustainability-linked loans, requires a comprehensive assessment of how these specialized vehicles impact national financial stability across diverse economic contexts (Olanrewaju et al., 2024). By prioritizing the development of policies that incentivize financial institutions to back environmentally sustainable initiatives, governments can effectively bridge the funding gap for renewable energy projects (Nauman et al., 2024).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author express sincere gratitude Prof. Gloria Dzeha for her invaluable academic guidance and scholarly insights throughout the development of this manuscript. Her mentorship and critical contributions have been instrumental in shaping this literature review.

The author also acknowledge the support of the Department of Finance, Central University, Ghana, for providing an enabling environment for research and scholarly development. Appreciation is further extended to the researchers whose scholarly contributions to the field of green finance and economic growth have informed this review.

REFERENCES

[1] Adisa, O., Ilugbusi, B. S., Chimezie, O., Awonuga, K. F., & Asuzu, O. F. (2024). Green bonds in climate finance: A review of USA and African initiatives [Review of *Green bonds in climate finance: A review of USA and African initiatives*]. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *11*(1), 2376–2383. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.11.1.0147

[2] Ahmad, M., Ahmed, Z., Alvarado, R., Hussain, N., & Khan, S. A. (2023). Financial development, resource richness, eco-innovation, and sustainable development: Does geopolitical risk matter? *Journal of Environmental Management*, *351*, 119824–119824. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119824

[3] Ahmad, M., Ahmed, Z., Gavurová, B., & Oláh, J. (2022). Financial Risk, Renewable Energy Technology

EARLY VIEW

Budgets, and Environmental Sustainability: Is Going Green Possible? *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.909190

[4] Akomea-Frimpong, I., Adeabah, D., Ofosu, D., & Tenakwah, E. J. (2021). A review of studies on green finance of banks, research gaps and future directions. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, *12*(4), 1241–1264. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2020.1870202

[5] Al-Afeef, M. A. M., Kalyebara, B., Abuoliem, N., Yousef, A. N. B., & Alafeef, M. A. M. I. (2024). Green finance and its impact on achieving sustainable development. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, *12*(3), 1525–1536. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2024.3.026

[6] Ali, M., Jamal, S., Zeb, A., Dil, R., & Ali, O. A. (2025). *The Role of Green Bonds in Advancing Climate Finance Goals in Emerging Economies: A Policy-Oriented Assessment*. https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i3.946

[7] Ameli, N., Dessens, O., Winning, M., Cronin, J., Chenet, H., Drummond, P., Calzadilla, A., Anandarajah, G., & Grubb, M. (2021). *Higher cost of finance exacerbates a climate investment trap in developing economies.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24305-3

[8] An, Q., Lin, C.-W., Li, Q., & Zheng, L. (2023). Research on the impact of green finance development on energy intensity in China. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2023.1118939

[9] Aryal, A., Dhakal, R., & Khanal, K. (2022). Sustainable Green Finance Practices and Application in Commercial Banks: A Phenomenological Study. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 31–36. https://doi.org/10.34257/gjmbrb-vol22is5pg31

[10] Azad, S., & Devi, S. L. T. (2025). Green Bonds in Sustainable Finance: A Bibliometric and Meta-Analysis of Research Trends, Challenges, and Emerging Market Dynamics (2014–2023). *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *35*(2), 2143–2166. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.70268

[11] Azam, I., Guo, Y., & Lau, C. K. M. (2025). *Green Finance and ESG Readiness: Bridging the Gaps in Sustainability Transitions*. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.70191

[12] Bagarti, M. K., & Pahwa, S. (2025). *Bibliometric analysis on green finance and sustainable investment*. https://doi.org/10.1142/s2424786326500052

[13] Bhardwaj, M., Kumar, P., & Singh, A. (2025). Role of green finance in carbon emission reduction: a meta-bibliometric approach to developed and developing economies. *Discover Sustainability*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-02007-w

[14] Billio, M., Murgia, M., & Vismara, S. (2024). *Sustainable and Climate Finance: An Integrative Framework from Corporates to Markets and Society*. https://doi.org/10.1561/114.00000058

[15] Caldecott, B., Clark, A., Harnett, E., & Liu, F. (2024). How sustainable finance creates impact: transmission mechanisms to the real economy. *Review of World Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10290-024-00541-9

[16] Campiglio, E., & Ploeg, F. van der. (2022). Macrofinancial Risks of the Transition to a Low-Carbon Economy. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, *16*(2), 173–195. https://doi.org/10.1086/721016

[17] Cheikh, N. B., & Rault, C. (2024). *Financial inclusion and threshold effects in carbon emissions*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2024.114265

[18] Chen, S., Farooq, U., Tabash, M. I., Alam, M. M., & Khudoykulov, K. (2025). How does green innovation determine corporate physical investment? New empirical evidence. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 1–27. https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2025.24455

[19] Chen, Y. (2026). The nonlinear impact of digital finance on carbon emission efficiency: evidence from Chinese cities. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2026.1739323

[20] Cheng, L. T. W., Sharma, P., & Broadstock, D. C. (2022). Interactive effects of brand reputation and ESG on green bond issues: A sustainable development perspective. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *32*(1), 570–586. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3161

[21] Chishti, M. Z., & Sinha, A. (2021). *Do the shocks in technological and financial innovation influence the environmental quality? Evidence from BRICS economies*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101828

[22] Çıtak, F., & Hoş, S. (2024). *The Granger-Causality between Green Finance and Environmental Sustainability: Evidence from G7 Countries*. https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/kared/issue/89114/1599607

[23] Debrah, C., Darko, A., & Chan, A. P. C. (2022). *A bibliometric-qualitative literature review of green finance gap and future research directions*. https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2022.2095331

[24] Dempere, J., Alamash, E., & Mattos, P. (2024). *Unveiling the truth: greenwashing in sustainable finance*. https://doi.org/10.3389/frsus.2024.1362051

[25] Digitemie, W. N., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2024). *ASSESSING THE ROLE OF CLIMATE FINANCE IN SUPPORTING DEVELOPING NATIONS: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW* [Review of *ASSESSING THE ROLE OF CLIMATE FINANCE IN SUPPORTING DEVELOPING NATIONS: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW*]. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i3.926

[26] Ding, X., Gao, L., Wang, G., & Nie, Y. (2022). Can the development of digital financial inclusion curb carbon emissions? Empirical test from spatial perspective. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1045878

[27] Dingkuhn, P., Nel, J. L., Schoenmaker, D., & Alpizar, F. (2026). Pathways toward a nature-positive financial system. *Cell Reports Sustainability*, *3*(3), 100629–100629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crsus.2025.100629

[28] Dong, Z., & Yao, S.-J. (2024). Digital finance reduces urban carbon footprint pressure in 277 Chinese cities. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-67315-z

EARLY VIEW

[29] D'Orazio, P., & Dirks, M. W. (2021). Exploring the effects of climate-related financial policies on carbon emissions in G20 countries: a panel quantile regression approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(5), 7678–7702. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-15655-y

[30] Elhady, A. M., & Shohieb, S. M. (2025). AI-driven sustainable finance: computational tools, ESG metrics, and global implementation. *Future Business Journal*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-025-00610-x

[31] Fu, C., Lü, L., & Pirabi, M. (2023). Advancing green finance: a review of sustainable development [Review of *Advancing green finance: a review of sustainable development*]. *Digital Economy and Sustainable Development*, *1*(1). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44265-023-00020-3

[32] Fu, C., Lü, L., & Pirabi, M. (2024). Advancing green finance: a review of climate change and decarbonization [Review of *Advancing green finance: a review of climate change and decarbonization*]. *Digital Economy and Sustainable Development*, *2*(1). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44265-023-00026-x

[33] Ganda, F., & Ruza, C. (2025). *Unveiling the non-linear dynamics: quantile regression of financial development's impact on environmental degradation in BRICS and G7 nations*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-00792-y

[34] Ganesh, L., Kusuma, S., Radhakumari, D., Krishna, P. V. V. S. S. R., & Pushkarna, G. (2024). Financing a Greener Future: Analyzing the Integral Role of Green Finance in Environmental Protection. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, *392*, 1046–1046. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/202439201046

[35] Gao, S., Zhen, Y., Chen, Y., & Wang, S. (2025). How does industry-university-research collaborative green innovation affect regional carbon emissions? —nonlinear effects and multi-mechanism analysis. *Carbon Balance and Management*, *20*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-025-00329-w

[36] Ghorbani, Y., Zhang, S. E., Nwaila, G. T., Bourdeau, J. E., & Rose, D. H. (2023). Embracing a diverse approach to a globally inclusive green energy transition: Moving beyond decarbonisation and recognising realistic carbon reduction strategies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *434*, 140414–140414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140414

[37] Gong, H., & Wang, Z. (2024). Study on the impact of green finance on green total factor productivity in forestry—evidence from China. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1335210

[38] Goyal, M., & Panwar, D. (2025). Green finance: Driving Sustainable Economic Growth. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, *6*(5), 6449–6457. https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0525.1791

[39] Guo, J., Zhang, K., & Liu, K. (2022). Exploring the Mechanism of the Impact of Green Finance and Digital Economy on China's Green Total Factor Productivity. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(23), 16303–16303. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192316303

[40] Guo, S., & Zhang, Z. (2023). *Green credit policy and total factor productivity: Evidence from Chinese listed companies*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2023.107115

[41] Guo, X., Yang, J., Shen, Y., & Zhang, X. (2024). Impact on green finance and environmental regulation on carbon emissions: evidence from China. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1307313

[42] Guo, Z. (2025). Research on Green Finance Promoting the Development of Low-Carbon Economy. *Journal of Economics and Management Sciences*, *8*(4). https://doi.org/10.30560/jems.v8n4p1

[43] Han, D., Lv, X., & Su, Y. (2024). *Research on the "combination punch" effect of heterogeneous environmental regulation linkage on carbon productivity*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-024-02834-x

[44] Han, J., & Gao, H. (2024). Green finance, social inclusion, and sustainable economic growth in OECD member countries. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02662-w

[45] Han, L., & ZHANG, Z.-Q. (2023). Impact of digital finance on enterprise green innovation: From the perspective of information asymmetry, consumer demand and factor market distortions. *PLoS ONE*, *18*(12). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0295809

[46] Hao, R. (2025). Research on the Collaborative Carbon Reduction Mechanism of Green Finance and Digital Finance. *SHS Web of Conferences*, *225*, 3024–3024. https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202522503024

[47] He, B., Ding, W., & Zhang, D. (2024). Does the Digital Economy Matter for Carbon Emissions in China? Mechanism and Path. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*. https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/193384

[48] Hu, F. B., Yongjian, C., & Yang, N. (2025). HAS GREEN FINANCE FACILITATED THE REALIZATION OF ECOLOGICAL PRODUCT VALUE? EVIDENCE FROM COUPLED COORDINATION MECHANISMS IN CHINESE PROVINCIAL PANEL DATA. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, *23*(5), 9137–9166. https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/2305_91379166

[49] Hu, G., Striełkowski, W., Li, H., Zenchenko, S., & Xu, J. (2023). *Can green credit policy under the concept of green economy curb corporate financialization to promote sustainable development?* https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1127380

[50] Hu, X., Xia, Y., Guo, J., Zhong, Y., & Mao, J. (2023). The impact of green finance on total factor carbon emission reduction efficiency in China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *31*(1), 757–771. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-30734-y

[51] Ikevuje, A. H., Anaba, D. C., & Iheanyichukwu, U. T. (2024). Exploring sustainable finance mechanisms for green energy transition: A comprehensive review and analysis. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(7), 1224–1247. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i7.1314

EARLY VIEW

[52] Jamali, M. A., & Voghouei, H. (2025). *Sustainable Finance and Sustainable Development Goals: A Comparative Review of Tools, Gaps, and Governance Challenges*. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.70492

[53] Jie, H., Zheng, B., Liu, N., & Chen, X. (2025). Unveiling the dynamic coupling and driving mechanisms among digital infrastructure, economic resilience and carbon productivity. *PLoS ONE*, *20*(10). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0333309

[54] Kanwal, A., Khalid, S., & Alam, M. Z. (2023). Analyzing the Asymmetric Effects of Green Finance, Financial Development and FDI on Environment Sustainability: New Insights from Pakistan Based Non-Linear ARDL Approach. *iRASD Journal of Economics*, *5*(3), 625–644. https://doi.org/10.52131/joe.2023.0503.0151

[55] Kong, H., Xu, Y., Zhang, R., Tang, D., Boamah, V., Wu, G., & Zhou, B. (2023). Research on the upgrading of China's regional industrial structure based on the perspective of green finance. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.972559

[56] Kuteesa, K. N., Akpuokwe, C. U., & Udeh, C. A. (2024). Exploring global practices in providing small and medium enterprises access to sustainable finance solutions. *World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, *5*(2), 35–51. https://doi.org/10.53346/wjast.2024.5.2.0034

[57] Lamperti, F., Bosetti, V., Roventini, A., Tavoni, M., & Treibich, T. (2021). Three green financial policies to address climate risks. *Journal of Financial Stability*, *54*, 100875–100875. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2021.100875

[58] Lee, C., & Du, L. (2024). Can green finance improve eco-efficiency? New Insights from China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *31*(28), 40976–40994. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-33832-7

[59] Lei, Z., Chun-xia, Z., Li, H., Cai, L., & Zhou, Y. (2025). How does digital financial inclusion improve Chinese county-level carbon productivity? *Frontiers in Climate*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2025.1644628

[60] Li, K., Lin, W., Jiang, T., Mao, Y., & Shi, W. (2024). Driving carbon emission reduction in China through green finance and green innovation: an endogenous growth perspective. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *31*(9), 14318–14332. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-32067-w

[61] Li, L., Ma, X., Ma, S., & Gao, F. (2024). *Role of green finance in regional heterogeneous green innovation: Evidence from China*. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03517-0

[62] Li, S., & Cao, X. (2025). Can green technology innovation empower urban carbon mission reduction? Evidence from China. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1616667

[63] Li, T., Xing, H., & Luo, H. (2025). Patient capital and green total factor productivity: Evidence from Chinese listed companies. *PLoS ONE*, *20*(9). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0331262

[64] Li, W., Fan, J., & Zhao, J. (2021). Has Green Finance Facilitated China's Low-Carbon Economic Transition? *Research Square (Research Square)*. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1102808/v1

[65] Lim, T. (2024). Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) and artificial intelligence in finance: State-of-the-art and research takeaways. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, *57*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10708-3

[66] Lin, G., Lam, J. F. I., Shi, Y., Chen, H., & Chen, H. (2023). Revisiting the linkage between green finance and China's sustainable development: evidence from the pilot zones for green finance reform innovations. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2023.1264434

[67] Liu, C., Gao, J., Liu, Z., & Liu, K. (2026). *Green Finance Development Empowered by Artificial Intelligence: Evidence from the Perspective of Carbon Asset Pricing*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2026.105145

[68] Liu, C., & Wu, S. (2023). Green finance, sustainability disclosure and economic implications. *Fulbright Review of Economics and Policy*, *3*(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1108/frep-03-2022-0021

[69] Liu, D., Chang, Y., Yao, H., & Kang, Y. (2023). The impact of green finance on green economy development efficiency: based on panel data of 30 provinces in China. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1328914

[70] Liu, M., Yaacob, M. H., Ma, Q.-B., & Ding, S. (2025). Green Finance and Corporate Green Innovation: A Systematic Literature Review. *SAGE Open*, *15*(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440251370800

[71] Liu, W., & Zhu, P. (2024). *The impact of green finance on the intensity and efficiency of carbon emissions: the moderating effect of the digital economy*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1362932

[72] Liu, X., Zhang, W., Liu, M., & Han, J. (2025). *Editorial: Green finance & carbon neutrality: strategies and policies for a sustainable future*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1560927

[73] Liu, Z., Zhang, Q., Gong, Y., Luo, G., & Zhong, X. (2025). How do city local government land finance spatial interaction strategies affect urban carbon productivity? Evidence from China's Yangtze River Basin. *Environment Development and Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-025-06811-2

[74] Lu, Y., & Lu, C. (2025). Catalyzing green total factor productivity through digital innovation: mechanisms, evidence, and policy implications from urban China. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1643646

[75] Lu, Y., & Xia, Z. (2024). Digital inclusive finance, green technological innovation, and carbon emissions from a spatial perspective. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-59081-9

[76] Luo, C., Du, J., & Yang, F. (2025). Spatial spillover effects of technological progress on energy intensity in China from the productivity improvement perspective. *Scientific Reports*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-17235-3

EARLY VIEW

[77] Lwesya, F. (2025). Green finance in Africa: mapping progress, challenges and prospects. *Future Business Journal*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-025-00596-6

[78] Ma, R., & Chen, X. (2025). *Can green financial policies promote green urbanization? Evidence from China*. https://doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2025.1637944

[79] Ma, R., Chen, X., & Li, Y. (2025). Ternary coordination and spatial convergence of digital economy, green finance, and green urbanization in the Yellow river basin of China. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1559458

[80] Magalhães-Timotio, J. G., Vieira, V. E. L., & Oliveira, R. A. de. (2024). An Exploratory Analysis Of Green Finance. *Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental*, *18*(8). https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n8-133

[81] Majumdar, Dr. J. V., Ajay, Sai, P. S., & Vishwak. (2026a). *GREEN FINANCE AS A CATALYST FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: A BIBLIOMETRICS REVIEW OF FINANCIAL INNOVATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18139533

[82] Majumdar, Dr. J. V., Ajay, Sai, P. S., & Vishwak. (2026b). GREEN FINANCE AS A CATALYST FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: A BIBLIOMETRICS REVIEW OF FINANCIAL INNOVATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT. *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18139534

[83] Mao, J., & Chen, J. (2024). The innovation effect of green finance reform—based on the green innovation vitality perspective. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *31*(8), 12351–12370. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-32004-x

[84] Maria, M. R., Ballini, R., & Souza, R. F. (2023). *Evolution of Green Finance: A Bibliometric Analysis through Complex Networks and Machine Learning*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15020967

[85] Marín-Rodríguez, N. J., González-Ruíz, J. D., & Botero-Botero, S. (2024). *Evolution of Green Finance: Mapping Its Role as a Catalyst for Economic Growth and Innovation*. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17110507

[86] Miled, K. B. H. (2025). Nexus between fintech, green finance natural resources, economic growth and environment sustainability: evidence from BRICS countries. *Future Business Journal*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-025-00469-y

[87] Mohsin, M., Iqbal, N., & Iram, R. (2023). The Nexus Between Green Finance and Sustainable Green Economic Growth. *Energy RESEARCH LETTERS*, *5*(3). https://doi.org/10.46557/001c.78117

[88] Mpanza, S. S. (2026). Sustainable Finance Mechanism: The Role of Green Bonds in Climate-Resilient Economic Systems. *International Journal of Sustainability in Business and Economics*, *2*(1). https://doi.org/10.51137/wrp.ijsbe.592

[89] Mudalige, H. M. N. K. (2023). Emerging new themes in green finance: a systematic literature review. *Future Business Journal*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-023-00287-0

[90] Muhammad, A., Ibitomi, T., Amos, D. D., Idris, M. B., & Ishaq, A. A. (2023). Comparative Analysis of Sustainable Finance Initiatives in Asia and Africa: A Path towards Global Sustainability. *Global Sustainability Research*, *2*(3), 33–51. https://doi.org/10.56556/gssr.v2i3.559

[91] Mursalov, M. (2025). REGULATION OF BANKING ACTIVITIES IS AN IMPORTANT TOOL FOR STIMULATING GREEN INVESTMENTS: CASE BY SELECTED UPPER MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES. *Financial and Credit Activity Problems of Theory and Practice*, *5*(64), 11–24. https://doi.org/10.55643/fcaptp.5.64.2025.4877

[92] Narayanan, S., & Pradhan, S. K. (2023). Exploring the research landscape of socially responsible investment through bibliometrics. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, *7*(1), 2024022–2024022. https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2024022

[93] Nauman, M., Naheed, R., & Khan, J. (2024). Navigating sustainable horizons: exploring the dynamics of financial stability, green growth, renewable energy, technological innovation, financial inclusion, and soft infrastructure in shaping sustainable development. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *31*(20), 29939–29956. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-33202-3

[94] Ngo, T., Trinh, H. H., Haouas, I., & Ullah, S. (2022). Examining the bidirectional nexus between financial development and green growth: International evidence through the roles of human capital and education expenditure. *Resources Policy*, *79*, 102964–102964. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102964

[95] Ni, L., Yu, Y., & Wen, H. (2023). Impact of fintech and environmental regulation on green innovation: inspiration from prefecture-level cities in China. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2023.1265531

[96] Nikou, V. (2025). *Decarbonizing through disorder? How inflation, institutional quality, and green bonds drive energy market efficiency*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-025-06721-3

[97] Nishonov, F. (2025a). *INNOVATIVE FINANCIAL MECHANISMS FOR FINANCING RENEWABLE ENERGY AND GREEN ECONOMY PROJECTS: AN ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVE*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18514444

[98] Nishonov, F. (2025b). INNOVATIVE FINANCIAL MECHANISMS FOR FINANCING RENEWABLE ENERGY AND GREEN ECONOMY PROJECTS: AN ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVE. *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18514443

[99] Olanrewaju, O. I. K., Oduro, P., & Babayeju, O. A. (2024). Exploring capital market innovations for net zero goals: A data-driven investment approach. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(6), 1091–1104. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i6.1244

[100] Olugbenga, A. F., & Bali, O. J. (2026). *Analyzing the Effectiveness of Green Finance in Developing Economies: The Role of Climate-Linked Investment Strategies*. https://doi.org/10.51583/ijltemas.2026.15020000135

EARLY VIEW

[101] Oyewole, A. T., Adeoye, O. B., Addy, W. A., Okoye, C. C., & Ofodile, O. C. (2024). ENHANCING GLOBAL COMPETITIVENESS OF U.S. SMES THROUGH SUSTAINABLE FINANCE: A REVIEW AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS [Review of *ENHANCING GLOBAL COMPETITIVENESS OF U.S. SMES THROUGH SUSTAINABLE FINANCE: A REVIEW AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS*]. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(3), 634–647. Fair East Publishers. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i3.876

[102] Ozili, P. K. (2022). Green finance research around the world: a review of literature [Review of *Green finance research around the world: a review of literature*]. *International Journal of Green Economics*, *16*(1), 56–56. Inderscience Publishers. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijge.2022.125554

[103] Palea, V., & Drogo, F. (2020). *Carbon emissions and the cost of debt in the eurozone: The role of public policies, climate-related disclosure and corporate governance*. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2550

[104] Pata, U. K., Mohammed, K. S., Nassani, A. A., & Ghosh, S. (2024). Discovering the sustainable development role of fintech credit and the pilot low carbon project on greenwashing in China. *Environment Development and Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-04919-5

[105] Peng, J., & Zheng, Y. (2021). Does Environmental Policy Promote Energy Efficiency? Evidence From China in the Context of Developing Green Finance. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.733349

[106] Periasamy, Dr. P., & Rajmohan, R. (2026). *Insurance Linked to Climate Risk, Green Bonds, and Carbon Markets: An Integrated Financial Instruments Perspective*. https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem55927

[107] Pitaloka, E., Purwanto, E., Suyoto, Y. T., Dwianika, A., & Anggreyani, D. (2024). Bibliometrics Analysis of Green Financing Research. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, *19*(3), 853–865. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.190305

[108] PrabhaSingh, SumatiSidharth, & Nadkarni, P. S. (2024). Exploring the Role of Sustainable Green Finance in Addressing Global Economic Challenges: A Literature Review [Review of *Exploring the Role of Sustainable Green Finance in Addressing Global Economic Challenges: A Literature Review*]. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research/Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, 589–612. Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-433-4_45

[109] Puschmann, T., & Khmarskyi, V. (2024). Green fintech: Developing a research agenda. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, *31*(4), 2823–2837. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2675

[110] Qamruzzaman, Md., & Kor, S. (2024). Navigating the path to environmental sustainability: Insights from CIVETS on the intersection of ICT diffusion, natural resources, and green technological innovation. *PLoS ONE*, *19*(12). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0309264

[111] Rakesh, R. S., Pratyay Das, Madhurit Singh, Anjali, C. JEGADHEESAN, Koteshwar Ramesh. (2025). *Impact of Green Finance Initiatives on Sustainable Economic Growth: A Comparative Study of Developed and Emerging Economies*. https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v15i2.3241

[112] Raman, R., Ray, S., Das, D., & Nedungadi, P. (2025). *Innovations and barriers in sustainable and green finance for advancing sustainable development goals*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1513204

[113] Rodriguez-Rojas, M. del P., Clemente-Almendros, J. A., Zein, S., & Seguí, L. (2022). Taxonomy and tendencies in sustainable finance: A comprehensive literature analysis. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.940526

EARLY VIEW